

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Weshington D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRM		CONFIRMATION NO.
09/372,474	08/11/1999	ELDON E. BAIRD	238/168	1434

7590

03/25/2002

Richard J. Warburg, Esq. FOLEY & LARDNER P.O. Box 80278 San Diego, CA 92138-0278

EXA	AMINER
MARSCH	EL, ARDIN H
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

1631

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2002

L

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Examiner

Applica..t(s)

09/372,474

Baird et al.

Art Unit

		Ardin Marschel	1631	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the corre	Spondence addw	
	for Reply			
	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			
- Exter af - If the	nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 fter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely.	CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, ication.	may a reply be tin	nely filed
be - If NO	e considered timely. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum and the control of the c		n of thirty (30) da	ys will
co - Failu	operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ommunication.	period will apply and will expire SIX (6	3) MONTHS from	the mailing date of t
- Any i	re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the prined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	by statute, cause the application to become mailing date of this communication	ome ABANDONE	O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	5 and communication,	sven ii timely filed	d, may reduce any
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 4, 20	<u>0</u> 02		
	TILL	tion is non-final.		
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Expension	except for formal matters, prosec	cution as to the	marks to
	produce drider Lx pa	orte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 (0.G. 213.	ments is
Disposit	tion of Claims			
4) X	Claim(s) 1-5, 38, and 42-49	is/	are pending in	the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/i	are withdrawn	from consideration
5) 🗌	Claim(s)		is/ara alla	Tom consideratio
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-5, 38, and 42-49		is/are allowe	÷a.
7) 🗌 (Claim(s)		is/are rejecte	ea. , .
8) 🗌 (Claims	are cubicet to see	is/are object	ed to.
Applicati	on Papers	are subject to restr	iction and/or el	ection requiremen
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) 🗆 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/ard	a objected to the second		
11)💢 7	The proposed drawing correction filed on the day	objected to by the Examiner.	_	
12) 🗌 7	The proposed drawing correction filed on	ner.	d) disapprove	ed.
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
13)□ Д	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pri	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(c	4/	
a) 🗌	All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	, 1 -11 00 010101 3 110(0)-(0	17.	
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received.		
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received in Application No.		
3. *See	application from the International Buses	cuments have been received in th	is National Sta	 ge
14) 🗆 A	and attached detailed Office action for a list of the	certified copies not received		
	cknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
Attachment				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No	(e)	
16) U Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PT		
ı∥∐ Inform		Other:	,	

Applicants' arguments, filed 1/4/02, have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

The elected specie is now deemed to be within claims 1-5, 38, and 41-49.

Claims 1-5, 38, and 42-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Consideration of claim 41, as filed, revealed that the various groups that are listed as options for R^4 therein serve as the written basis for R_1 in instant claims 1, 49, and dependent claims. Within the options for R^4 the second group contains the parameter "p" to define the number of methylenes therein. No definition for what value or values "p" can take on have been found as filed. Now in claims 1, 49, etc. this same group cites the number of methylenes as being 0 - 100. This evaluation of the "p" parameter is NEW MATTER as not having written basis as filed. This rejection is necessitated by amendment.

Serial No. 09/372,474 - 3 - Art Unit: 1631

Claims 2-5, 38, and 42-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.

§ 112, fourth paragraph, as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

In claim 1 the method is directed to designing a polyamide which contains the endgroup R_1 which is a nitrogen containing group which is attached to the end group which is X_{2m} . Claim 2 is outside the scope of claim 1 because it ends with X_{2m} and does not have a terminal endgroup R_1 . Therefore claim 2 cannot further limit claim 1 due to being outside of the scope of claim 1. Claims dependent from claim 2 which do not add the endgroup R_1 also are not further limiting from claim 1 from which they ultimately depend. This rejection is necessitated by amendment.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

In the specification on page 16, the Table legend is improper in that the specification is required to only contain line spacings of 1 ½ to double spacing. See the MPEP at section 608.01 in the section entitled PAPER REQUIREMENTS.

Correction is required.

No claim is allowed.

Applicants' amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is either (703)308-4242 or (703)305-3014.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703)308-3894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (703)308-4028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Patent Analyst, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (703)305-3524 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

March 22, 2002

PRIMARY EXAMINER